Please find below Open Fiber answers to the questionnaire.

## QUESTION 1

The table below contains all the criteria that the different Member States with broadband universal service obligation in force took into account when they defined it. Please rate them (1-5) in terms of their importance to define the adequate broadband internet access service, and explain the rationale behind it. When rating, please take into account the following graduation:

1: Not relevant at all
2: Less important
3: Important
4: High importance
5: Indispensable.

| Evaluation criteria | Rating <br> 1: Not relevant at all <br> 2: Less important <br> 3: Important <br> 4: High importance <br> 5: Indispensable. | Rationale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Where the data rate in question is used at national level by: <br> i) at least $50 \%$ of all households; and <br> ii) at least $80 \%$ of all households with a broadband connection. |  |  |
| Expected availability of broadband without public intervention |  |  |
| Geographic survey |  |  |
| Market distortion |  |  |
| Estimation of the potential demand for a broadband USO |  |  |
| Comparison with other EU countries |  |  |
| Benefits of public intervention and effects on competition |  |  |
| Timeframe to make available broadband under USO |  |  |
| Social and economic disadvantages incurred by those without access to a broadband connection, including disabled end-use |  |  |
| Estimation of the costs of intervention through USO versus other approaches |  |  |


| Where the data rate in question is <br> used [...] | 3 | According to the Digital Agenda the definition of USO must be in <br> line with the development of the coverage of UBB services in each <br> Country. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected availability of b... | 4 | If geographical survey shows the availability of UBB connectivity, <br> it seems reasonable that each operators that offer connectivity in <br> that area could be in charge to offer also broadband USO, <br> irrespective that the area has been covered by public funds or <br> not. |
| Geographic survey | 5 | It is essential to identify area where operator already offer UBB <br> connectivity. |
| Market distortion | 3 | If all operators offering UBB connectivity and all operators <br> offering UBB services (retail) are in charge at different level |


|  |  | (connectivity or retail services) and compete to offer such USO <br> services, the market distortion would be automatically reduced. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Estimation of the potential <br> demand | 3 | The possibility to estimate the potential demand and <br> consequently the potential cost for the community of such <br> demand helps to plan resources and tools for the management ex <br> ante of such demand |
| Comparison with other EU <br> countries | 3 | Best practice may help the proper harmonization <br> Benefits of public intervention... |
| 4 | Once a light touch regulation is set and a competition among <br> operator is guarantee to provide USO, the public intervention <br> could be limited only to grant access to extreme minority (i.e. <br> social problem) |  |
| Timeframe to make available | 2 | Implementing of USO is driven by digital agenda planned of each <br> MS |
| Social and economic disadvantage | 5 | USO is essential for such kind of communities |
| Estimation of the costs of <br> intervention |  |  |

## Question 2

None

## Question 3

Open Fiber believes that the minimum bandwidth requirement could be $10-20 \mathrm{mbps}$ in download and 1-2 upload. This value should not be fixed for all the period but could be change accordingly with the development of connectivity in each MS.

