
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2019 12:51 PM
To: NTP_Guidelines; NTP_Guidelines_Notifications
Subject: Response to BEREC consultation on network termination points

Dear BEREC,

in response to the Public consultation on draft BEREC Guidelines on common approaches to the identification of the network termination point in different network topologies, please consider the following:

1. This is an overreach to consider such regulation; the service provider may want to monitor remote devices from a service level however seeking to control such a device in one's home is unnecessary. With 'dumb' devices such as gas meters, that have immediate public risk attached, this may be appropriate however this should not apply to a digital device.
2. Due to constant failings and lack of updates and maintenance in closed devices, it is not in the public interest to control the Internet within the user's home or workplace
3. This is analogous to the post office owning the letterbox
4. Consumer devices are commonly fitted with unnecessary features that may be unwelcome in the home such as re-sharing wifi and adding digital assistants - these must be optional and should not be covertly installed embedded in ISP devices

Regarding specific points, I support the FSFE response here: <https://fsfe.org/news/2019/news-20191120-01.html>

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

--

E:

[REDACTED]
L: [REDACTED]
IM: [REDACTED]